2018

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor (SJIF): 6.379 Index Copernicus Value: 71.58 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: _https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v6i5.28



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

Health Problems among Sanitation Workers in Indore City and Their Knowledge, Attitude & Practices Regarding Preventive Measures Taken At Workplace: A Cross- Sectional Study

Authors Dr A.K. Khatri, Dr Anubhuti Kujur, Dr Suraj Sirohi, Dr Ishan Sanodiya,

Dr Sanjay Dixit

Corresponding Author **Dr Anubhuti Kujur** MGM Medical College Indore, MP India

Abstract

Introduction: The health and safety need of sanitation workers is an important issue. This study aims to know the common health problems among sanitation workers and their knowledge, attitude and practices regarding preventive measures provided and taken by them at work place.

Methodology: This cross-sectional study was carried out from September to November, 2017 among 200 randomly selected sanitation workers employed under the Indore Municipal Corporation (IMC). A predesigned semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect data and informed consent was taken from study participants. Data was entered in Excel sheet and analyzed.

Results: 71% sanitation workers were in the age group of 31-49 years; and majority(63%) were females. 96% suffered from one or a combination of health problems; most common being respiratory problems (87%). Awareness about personal protective equipment (PPE) was present among 85% study population; none (0%) used them. 98% stated that they would use PPE if provided by IMC. Only 57% consulted a doctor in case of sickness.

Conclusion: There is high prevalence of health problems among sanitation workers. There is presence of a wide gap between the knowledge/attitude and practices of sanitation workers regarding protective gear. Strategies to improve monitoring and treatment of illness and injuries at workplace must be done.

Introduction

Over the past few years, access to sanitation has attracted more attention in India- thanks to the Swachh Bharat Mission (Clean India Mission) which was launched in 2014. This project seeks to make the nation free of "open defecation" by 2019. Such efforts have been made after the Supreme Court of India recognized sanitation as a fundamental right in the 1990s, and the UN General Assembly recognized sanitation as a distinct human right.¹

Much had been talked about sanitation and health and now it is well known that sanitation has an important bearing on positive health. Also, many studies have proved this.²⁻⁵ In India, the maintenance of clean environment has become a challenge and many sanitation workers are working under Municipal Corporations whose

2018

responsibility is to maintain sanitation in their respective towns and cities. Their work include sweeping roads, cleaning toilets, cleaning septic tanks, disposal of human and animal excreta, collecting and transporting garbage, etc.⁶ All this sanitation work is physically hard, smelly, disgusting and often dangerous: 90 per cent of municipal sanitation workers die before the retirement age, most from occupation-related health conditions.⁷ Sanitation workers experience twice the fatality rates of police officers and nearly seven times the rate of firefighters, according to a cultural study done in New York City.⁸ The demand of sanitation workers for a living wage and right to live with dignity & safety can be traced back to the 1968 Memphis strike.⁹

Due to their occupation and occupation based castes. they are neglected. exploited, discriminated and even alienated in society. The sesanitation workers make up the most underappreciated workforce in the society even after doing their job. Death of sanitation workers who enter sewers without any protective gear have also been reported time and again.

Keeping in view the health & safety needs of sanitation workers, very few studies have been done. Also, Indore city being ranked as No. 1 in the past Swachhta Survekshan (Cleanliness Survey) under the Clean India Mission, it becomes all the more necessary to look into the health problems and safety practices of the sanitation workers who keep this city clean.

Objectives

- 1) To study the common health problems among sanitation workers.
- 2) To study the knowledge, attitude and practices regarding preventive measures taken at workplace.

Methodology

This cross-sectional study was carried out for duration of 3 months (September to November, 2017) among 200 randomly selected sanitation workers employed under the Indore Municipal Corporation. The confidence interval was taken as 95%, precision of the study as 5% and the prevalence of health problems among sanitation workers from previous literature as 15%-for calculation of sample size for the study. The sanitation workers less than 20 years and more than 60 years were not included in the study. Informed consent was taken from the study participants. The information was collected through a pre-designed semi-structured questionnaire inquiring into the common health problems suffered by the sanitation workers owing to their occupation. Also their knowledge, attitude and practices regarding the preventive measures provided and taken by them were looked into. All information collected was kept confidential. Data was entered in Microsoft Excel sheet. Qualitative data were presented as frequency distribution with percentage; and for quantitative data. its descriptive statistics with mean and standard deviation were calculated.

Results

The findings of the study reveal that maximum (71%) sanitation workers were in their thirties and forties (Mean age= 39.33+/-8.35 years). 63% were females; 93% were street sweepers; and 44% were more working for than 10 years. The Demographic characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1.

Sl.No.	Demographic characteristic	Frequency(n=200)	Percentage (%)
1.	Age Group		
	20-29 years	28	14
	30-39 years	78	39
	40-49 years	64	32
	50-59 years	30	15
	Total	200	100
2.	Gender		
	Male	74	37
	Female	126	63
	Total	200	100
3.	Type of Work		
	Manual Scavenger	2	1
	Street Sweeper	186	93
	Office Workers	12	6
	Total	200	100
4.	Years of Working		
	<1 year	2	1
	1-5 years	48	24
	5-10 years	62	31
	>10 years	88	44
	Total	200	100

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the study population

Only 4 % of study population did not suffer from any of the common health problems, while 96% suffered from one or a combination of health problems involving the respiratory system, **Table 2:** Morbidity Profile of Study Population gastrointestinal system, skin, eyes and/or sharp object injury. The proportion of common health problems suffered is depicted in table 2.

*Common Health Problems Respiratory Problem(cough and/or difficulty breathing) 174 87 Sharp Object Injury 142 71 Gastrointestinal Disturbance(diarrhea) 90 45 Skin Condition(allergy/czema) 68 34 Ophthalmic Problem 54 27 Not Suffered from any of the above 8 4 *The percentage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as these were multiple choice answers. 7 Cough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance 7 Diarrhea 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 Ophthalmic Problem 7 7 Yes 54 27 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 Jono't know and/or remember 108 54 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Don't know and/or remember 128 6	Sl. No.	Variable	Frequency(n=200)	Percentage (%)
Respiratory Problem(cough and/or difficulty breathing) 174 87 Sharp Object Injury 142 71 Gastrointestinal Disturbance(diarrhea) 90 45 Skin Condition(allergy/cczema) 68 34 Ophthalmic Problem 54 27 Not Suffered from any of the above 8 4 *The percentage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as these were multiple choice answers. 7 2. Respiratory Problems 8 4 Cough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance 7 Diarrhea 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 4. Ophthalmic Problems 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Ophthalmic Problems 200 100 5. Skin Condition 200 100	1.	*Common Health Problems		
		Respiratory Problem(cough and/or difficulty breathing)	174	87
		Sharp Object Injury	142	71
Skin Condition(allergy/eczema) 68 34 Ophthalmic Problem 54 27 Not Suffered from any of the above 8 4 *The percentage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as these were multiple choice answers. 2 Respiratory Problems 85 Cough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 108 54 Pres 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 5. Skin Condition 54 27 No 38 19 100 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 100 Total 200 100 54 100 No 128		Gastrointestinal Disturbance(diarrhea)	90	45
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & 6 & 2 \\ \hline Not Suffered from any of the above & 8 & 4 \\ \hline $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$		Skin Condition(allergy/eczema)	68	34
Not Suffered from any of the above 8 4 *The percentage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as these were multiple choice answers. 2. Respiratory Problems 170 85 Cough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance 10 5 Diarrhea 90 45 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 5 Mathematic Problem 108 54 10 Mathematic Problem 108 34 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Ophthalmic Problem</td><td>54</td><td>27</td></td<>		Ophthalmic Problem	54	27
*The percentage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as these were multiple choice answers. 2. Respiratory Problems Gough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance ************************************		Not Suffered from any of the above	8	4
Respiratory Problems Cough present 170 85 Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance 7 Diarrhea 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 Ophthalmic Problem 7 54 Yes 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 5. Skin Condition 7 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 128 Gon't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 100 6. On't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 100 7 Yes 142 71	*The percen	tage of common health problems does not add up to 100% as	these were multiple choice a	answers.
	2.	Respiratory Problems		
Difficulty breathing present 136 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance (136) 68 3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance (136) 68 (136)		Cough present	170	85
3. Gastrointestinal Disturbance Diarrhea 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 4. Ophthalmic Problem 5 4. Ophthalmic Problem 7 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 5. Skin Condition 5 Yes 68 34 No 128 64 Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 5 Gastro Object Injury 5 5 On't remember 6 3 No 52 26 On't remember 6 3 On't remember 6 3 On't remember 6 3 On't remember 6		Difficulty breathing present	136	68
Diarrhea 90 45 Blood in Stool (Dysentery) 10 5 Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 4. Ophthalmic Problem $ Yes 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 S. Skin Condition 10 Yes 68 34 No 128 64 Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 S. Yes 68 34 Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 128 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 $	3.	Gastrointestinal Disturbance		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline 10 & 5 \\ \hline $Diarrhea associated with fever 10 & 5 \\ \hline $Ophthalmic Problem$ \\ \hline $Yes 54 27 \\ \hline $Yes 54 27 \\ \hline $Yes 54 27 \\ \hline $Yes 38 19 \\ \hline $Don't know and/or remember 108 54 \\ \hline $Don't know and/or remember 108 54 \\ \hline $Total 200 100 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 68 64 \\ \hline $Don't remember 4 2 \\ \hline $Total 200 100 \\ \hline $Yes 64 20 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 68 34 \\ \hline $Yes 64 2 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 142 71 \\ \hline $Yes 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 20 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 20 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 20 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 26 \\ \hline $Yes 3 2 20 \\ \hline $Yes 4 2 20 \\ \hline $Yes 4 2 \\ \hline $Yes 4 2 \\ \hline $Yes 4 2 \\ \hline $Yes $4$$		Diarrhea	90	45
Diarrhea associated with fever 10 5 4. Ophthalmic Problem 27 Yes 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 5. Skin Condition 128 Yes 68 34 No 128 64 Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 128 Ono't remember 4 2 Ono't remember 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Don't remember 6 3 Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Don't remember 6 3		Blood in Stool (Dysentery)	10	5
4. Ophthalmic Problem Yes 54 27 No 38 19 Don't know and/or remember 108 54 Total 200 100 5. Skin Condition 128 Yes 68 34 On't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 142 Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Yes 6 3		Diarrhea associated with fever	10	5
	4.	Ophthalmic Problem		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline No & 38 & 19 \\ \hline Don't know and/or remember & 108 & 54 \\ \hline Total & 200 & 100 \\ \hline \hline S. & Skin Condition & & & \\ \hline S. & Skin Condition & & & \\ \hline S. & Skin Condition & & & \\ \hline Yes & 68 & 34 & & \\ \hline No & 128 & 64 & & \\ \hline Don't remember & 4 & 2 & & \\ \hline Don't remember & 4 & 2 & & \\ \hline Total & 200 & 100 & & \\ \hline S. & Sharp Object Injury & & & \\ \hline S. & Yes & 142 & 71 & & \\ \hline No & 52 & 26 & & \\ \hline Don't remember & 6 & 3 & \\ \hline Don't remember & 6 & 3 & \\ \hline Total & 200 & 100 & & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		Yes	54	27
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Don't know and/or remember & 108 & 54 \\ \hline Total & 200 & 100 \\ \hline $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $$		No	38	19
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline Total & 200 & 100 \\ \hline Total & 200 & 100 \\ \hline Skin Condition & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		Don't know and/or remember	108	54
Skin Condition Yes 68 34 No 128 64 Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 71 Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100		Total	200	100
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Yes & 68 & 34 \\ \hline No & 128 & 64 \\ \hline Don't remember & 4 & 2 \\ \hline $Total $$ $200 $$ $100 \\ \hline $100 $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$ $$	5.	Skin Condition		
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline No & 128 & 64 \\ \hline Don't remember & 4 & 2 \\ \hline \mbox{Total} & 200 & 100 \\ \hline \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular}$		Yes	68	34
Don't remember 4 2 Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury 71 Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100		No	128	64
Total 200 100 6. Sharp Object Injury		Don't remember	4	2
6. Sharp Object Injury Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100		Total	200	100
Yes 142 71 No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100	6.	Sharp Object Injury		
No 52 26 Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100		Yes	142	71
Don't remember 6 3 Total 200 100		No	52	26
Total 200 100		Don't remember	6	3
		Total	200	100



Though the awareness about personal protective equipment (PPE) like face mask, eye gear, gloves and gum boots was present among 85% study population; still none (0%) used them during work hours. No provision of PPE by the Municipal Corporation (90%) was the main reason stated for not using them. 1% did not find the PPE of much use while 6% had no specific reason for not using the PPEs. However, 98% study population stated that they would use the PPE if provided by the municipal corporation. 72% reported that there was no provision of proper and organized health checkups by the municipal corporation. Only 57% consulted a doctor in case of sickness; while the remaining 43% either took a home based remedy (21%), took over the counter medications(15%) or did not seek treatment at all(7%). The details of the Knowledge, Attitude and Practices are shown in table 3& 4.

Table 3. Knowledge & Practices of Stu	dy Populatio	n regarding Preventive	Measures in Their	Workplace
Table 5. Knowledge & Flactices of Stu	uy ropulatio	in regarding rievenuve	wiedsuies in Then	workplace

Sl. No.	Variable	Response		
		Yes (n=200, %)	No (n=200, %)	Not sure (n=200, %)
1.	Awareness about occupational hazard exposure	134(67)	62(31)	4(2)
2.	Any past exposure of occupational hazard	108(54)	92(46)	-
3.	Awareness about PPE	170(85)	30(15)	-
5.	Use of PPE by them	0(0)	200(100)	-
6.	Provision of PPE by Municipal Corporation	6(3)	180(90)	14(7)
8.	Provision of Health Checkups by Municipal	40(20)	144(72)	16(8)
	Corporation			

Table 4: Attitude of Study Population regarding Preventive Measures in Their Workplace

Sl.No.	Variable	Response		
		Agree (n=200,%)	Neutral (n=200,%)	Disagree (n=200,%)
1.	Think that hazards can be minimized by use of PPE	182(91)	6(3)	12(6)
2.	Willing to use PPE if provided by Municipal Corporation	196(98)	0(0)	4(2)
3.	Willing to Approach Doctor When Sick	114(57)	0(0)	86(43)

Discussion

According to this study, maximum (71%) sanitation workers belonged to the age group of 31-49 years and majority (63%) of them was females.

Studies done by Yogesh D Sabde et al. on the morbidity profile of street sweepers of Nagpur Municipal Corporation¹⁰ and N Sherrin Sophia et al. on the sanitation workers of Trichurapalli¹¹ also had majority of sanitation workers belonging to the age group of 30-50 years; 73.2% and30% respectively. Study done by Yuehua Yan1 et al. on occupational skin diseases among sanitation workers in China had 87.2% female sanitation workers.¹²

The present study reported 96% suffering from one or more of the common health problems; the most common health problem involved the respiratory system, i.e., cough and/or difficulty breathing (87%) followed by sharp object injury during work hours (71%), gastrointestinal disturbance, i.e., diarrhea and/or dysentery with or without fever (45%), skin conditions like allergy/eczema (34%) and eye problems like watering of eyes/itching/burning sensation in the eyes (27%).

Similar findings were reported by Yogesh D Sabde et al. and Prabhakumari Chellamma et al. where the most common illness suffered affected the respiratory system (15% and 50.72% respectively) besides other health problems.^{10,13} The study done by N Sherrin Sophia et al. also reported several health issues such as gastrointestinal diseases, skin related issues, orthopedic problems and asthma among 70% of sanitation workers.¹¹

Another research done by Smilee Johncy S. et al. in central Karnataka compared all pulmonary function parameters-between female sweepers and healthy female controls. The results showed that

sweepers had significantly compromised lung function parameters as compared to the controls.¹⁴ Other health problems include significantly higher risk of developing Musculoskeletal Disorders among street sweepers compared with the comparison group as found in the study done by Pradeep S Salve et al.¹⁵

The sanitation workers are also prone to needle stick and sharps injuries as documented in a survey in Mexico City by Thompson B et al., where 34% reported 22 needle sticks in the previous one year.¹⁶

According to the present study, though awareness about PPE was present among 85% study population; none used them. The main reason stated for not using them was no provision of PPE by the IMC (90%). However, 98% study population stated that they would use the PPE if provided by the municipal corporation. Also, 91% agreed that health hazards can be minimized by the use of protective gears at work place.

Prabhakumari Chellamma et al. highlighted similar findings. The awareness regarding PPE was seen in 85.19% of the sanitation workers. Despite this high level of awareness, regular use of PPE was seen only in 18% of workers.¹³Only 58% preferred wearing safety measures during work: in the study done by N Sherrin Sophia et al.¹¹ Yogesh D Sabde et al. also noted that none of the street sweepers used protective devices such as face mask, goggles, gumboots or gloves while working. The reasons given were an irregular supply of the protective devices and lack of motivation for using these devices.¹⁰

The present study revealed that though free treatment was provided by the IMC in their dispensaries; 72% sanitation workers reported no provision of pre-placement and periodic health checkups in these dispensaries. Only 57% voluntarily consulted a doctor in case of sickness.

Auler F et al. in their analysis of the health conditions and access to health services of waste pickers in Brazil found that 36.9 % had not consulted with a doctor in more than 1 year and 15.7 % had no access to a healthcare unit.¹⁷Also,

analysis done by Rangamani S et al. among sanitation workers in Karnataka stated that most sanitation workers continued to work without appropriate treatment.¹⁸

Conclusion

The health profile of sanitation workers is critical, with a high prevalence of health problems involving the respiratory, skin, sharp object injuries and gastrointestinal system. This problem is magnified by no use of PPE at their workplace and poor healthcare seeking behavior among them.

Though majority of sanitation workers are aware and are ready to use the PPE if provided; however none were found to use them. This implies the presence of a wide gap between the knowledge/ attitude and practices of sanitation workers. The reasons for this gap may be multifactorial; ranging from financial constraints, poor political commitment, and lack of urgent demand of preventive measures.

Only 20% individuals reported to have undergone a health check-up that was provided by the IMC. 80% did not receive any kind of health check-up. The reasons for this may also be the lack of political commitment, absence of any authoritative body to ensure health checkups, and loss of pay or job of the workers.

All these findings suggest the absence of a proper health and safety mechanisms at workplace. Thus, recommendations include formation of an authoritative body which would deal with the proper implementation of use of PPE and uniforms at workplace. Pre-placement health checkups and periodic health examinations by the municipal corporation should be made mandatory for all sanitation workers. Health education sessions should be organized by IMC.

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict of Interest: None.

Acknowledgement: We thank the M.B.B.S. students: Adel Rasheed, Ankit Jain, Apurva Shah & Anmol Bhargava for their contribution in this research work.

from:

References

1. News clipping:India's ambitious plans to achieve sanitation for all must look beyond building individual toiletsOctober 9, 2017 10.20pm AEDT Available

https://qz.com/1098315/swachh-bharatindias-ambitious-plans-to-achievesanitation-for-all-must-look-beyondbuilding-individual-toilets/

- 2. Duncan Mara, Jon Lane, Beth Scott, David Trouba (2010): Sanitation and Health
- Kuberan, 3. Anjana Awnish Kumar Singh, Jyoti BalaKasav, Satish Prasad, Krishna Mohan Surapaneni, Vandana Upadhyay, Ashish Joshi (2015); Water and sanitation hygiene knowledge, attitude, and practices among household members living in rural setting of India
- 4. Ashish Joshi and ChiomaAmadi (2013); Impact of Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Interventions on Improving Health Outcomes among School Children
- S Kumar, Sitanshu 5. Ganesh Sekhar Kar, Animesh Jain (2011): Health and environmental sanitation in India: Issues for prioritizing control strategies
- 6. Earanna. LaxmanKawale J, (2017);Pourakarmikas In India: Analysis Of Social, Occupational And Health Status
- 7. Barbara Harriss-White (2017); Formality and informality in an Indian urban waste economy
- 8. Robin Nagle; Picking Up: On the Streets and Behind the Trucks with the Sanitation Workers of New York City
- 9. Michael Honey(Spring 2008);The Memphis Strike: Martin Luther King's Last Campaign
- 10. Yogesh D Sabde and Sanjay P Zodpey (2008); Study of Morbidity Pattern in Street Sweepers: A Cross-sectional Study

- 11. N Sherrin Sophia and S Pavithra (2017); A study on sanitation workers at Tiruchirappalli
- 12. Yuehua Yan, Xinggang Wang, Jianbo Wu, Li Xu (2015); Occupational skin diseases and prevention among sanitation workers in China
- 13. Prabhakumari Chellamma, Sudhiraj, Arya Vijayakumar (2017); Morbidity Profile Of Sanitary Workers In Thrissur Corporation, Kerala
- 14. Smilee Johncy S., Dhanyakumar G., Vivian Samuel T., Ajay K.T., Suresh Y. Bondade (2013); Acute Lung Function Response to Dust in Street Sweepers
- 15. Pradeep S Salve, Praveen Chokhandre (2016); Assessing the exposure of street sweeping and potential risk factors for developing musculoskeletal disorders and related disabilities:a cross-sectional study
- 16. Thompson B, Moro PL, Hancy K, Ortega-Sánchez IR, Santos-Preciado JI, Franco-Paredes C, Weniger BG, Chen RT (2010); Needlestick Injuries Among Sanitation Workers In Mexico City
- 17. Auler F, Nakashima AT, Cuman RK (2014); Health Conditions of Recyclable Waste Pickers
- 18. Rangamani S, Obalesha KB, Gaitonde R (2015); Health issues of sanitation workers in a town in Karnataka: Findings from a lay health-monitoring study.