2017

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor 5.84 Index Copernicus Value: 83.27 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: _https://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v5i6.03



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

Histopathological Grade versus Estrogen and Progestron Receptor Status in Carcinoma Breast- A Single Center Study

Authors

Amit Mittal¹, CSBR Prasad², Sreeramulu P.N³, Srinivasan D⁴, Naveed Ahmed Khan⁵, Ruta Ujjval Joshi⁶

> Dept of General Surgery and Pathology, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Kolar-563101 (Karnataka) India

ABSTRACT

Objective: The role of hormone receptors as a prognostic and therapeutic tool in breast cancer is widely accepted. The aim of this study was to analyse the receptor status in breast cancer with histopathological characteristics of the tumor.

Methods: In the present study, immunohistochemical assay of 62 tumor block of patients of breast carcinoma was performed to know the hormone receptor status as well as histological examination. **Results:** 62 samples were taken to study hormonal status and their relation with histo-pathological factors. Out of 62 breast cancer specimen available for immunohistochemical testing for ER/PR. The result of the study showed 45.16% cases were ER+/PR+, 4.84% cases ER+/PR-, 6.45% ER-/PR+ and 43.55% cases were ER-/PR-.A significant association was seen between histologic grade and hormone receptor status **Conclusion:** The Estrogen receptor and Progestron Receptor negative cases were found to be high grade disease on histological evaluation. The ER/PR status of all breast tumour should be evaluated for IHC. ER/PR positive breast tumour has better prognosis and respond better to treatment. **Keywords:** Breast carcinoma, Estrogen, Progesterone, Histopathology.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women worldwide, with nearly 1.7 million new cases diagnosed in 2012 (second most common cancer overall). This represents about 12% of all new cancer cases and 25% of all cancers in women.^[1] Breast cancer is a leading cause of death in women.^[2] Incidence of breast cancer is low in India compared to western countries, but it is associated with poor prognosis and high mortality, may be due to late presentation at advanced stages.^[3] It shows heterogeneity in its clinical behavior. Prognosis and management of breast cancer are influenced by the classic variables such as histological type and grade, tumor size, lymph node status, status of hormonal receptors- estrogen receptor (ER) and Progesterone receptor (PR) of tumor.^[4] Determining the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor receptor-2 (Her2/neu) receptor status in breast cancer has become practice as survival advantage for patients with hormones receptor positive status adjuvant hormonal bv treatment with or chemotherapeutic regimens . It is well known that strong ER-positive cases benefit from endocrine therapy alone, in contrast to those with low to positivity.^[5] moderate ER PR status is

Amit Mittal et al JMSCR Volume 05 Issue 06 June 2017

JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||06||Page 22816-22820||June

independently associated with disease-free and overall survival. Patients with ER, PR positive tumors have a better prognosis than patients with negative expression of ER and PR tumors.^[6] Immunohistochemistry is primarily a research tool in our population. Hormone receptors study are not routinely measured as it is expensive. This could impact treatment decisions and patients are sometimes treated empirically with tamoxifen which is not always required. The present study planned keeping in mind predictive was importance of receptor status for the prognosis of illness and application of appropriate therapy. The objective was to determine receptor status and it's correlation with histopathological Grade of the tumor in an Indian population.

OBJECTIVES

To correlate the Histopathological grade with ER and PR receptor status of breast carcinoma

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was an observational study carried out in R L Jalappa Hospital, Tamaka, Kolar. samples of Sixty two patients with histological proven diagnosis of breastcarcinoma from January 2011 to December 2015 were selected for this study. Modified radical mastectomy specimens were subjected for routine histopathological exami-nation and Immunohistochemical analysis. Clinical details were archived from the files. Specimens were routinely fixed 24-48 hours in 10% neutral buffer formalin and were grossly examined and representative tissue bits were taken according to standard guidelines and then processed. Sections were stained with routine hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stain. Histopathological features were determined. samples were histologically graded according to Modified Bloom-Richardson-Elston grading system. ER / PR status was evaluted by immuno-histochemistry technique with monoclonal antibodies (DAKO) using antigenimmunoperoxidase antibody Streptavidin technique and other tumorrelated parameters were reported as per standards. ER and PR positivity was assessed using Allred Score system. The All red score combines the percentage of positive cells and the intensity of the reaction product in the most of the carcinoma. The 2 scores are added together for a final score with 8 possible values. A simplified Allred score groups 0and 2; 3 and 4; 5 and 6; and 7 and 8 for 4 possible values.^[7]

Proportion score (PS)

Score	% of Positive cells
0	0
1	<1
2	1-10
3	11-33
4	34-66
5	67-100

Intensity of positivity
None
Weak
Intermediate
Strong

The proportion score and intensity score are added together for a total score.

Total score: PS+ IS	Interpretation
0, 2	Negative
3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8	Positive

Elston- Ellis modification of Scarff- Bloom-Richardson grading system was used for histological grade. It evaluates the amount of tubule formation, the extend of nuclear pleomorphism and the mitotic count. Each variable is given ascore of 1, 2, or 3 and the score are added to produce agrade.2 The mitotic score was determined by the number of mitotic figures found in 10 consecutive high- power fields (HPF) in the most mitotically active part of the tumor. Onlyclearly identifiable mitotic figures were counted. Diagnosis age was categorized as<30, 30–39, 40–49, 50-59 and ≥60 yrs.

RESULTS

Sixty two cases of breast carcinomas cases were tested for ER and PR status in relation to

JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||06||Page 22816-22820||June

histological grading of tumor. In the present study female patients with breast carcinoma were aged between 3rd and 8th decade of life. The youngest was 25 years and oldest 79 years The mean age was 52.66 years. Majority (63%) were in age group of 40 to 59 yrs.(Table 1)

Table 1: Age distribution of the patients

AGE GROUP (In Yrs)	NUMBER OF CASES
<30	1
30-39	8
40-49	14
50-59	19
≥60	20

The morphological categories were infiltrating ductal carcinoma, not otherwise specified (52 cases- 83.9%) followed by medullary carcinoma (6 cases, 9.7%); infiltrating lobular carcinoma (2 cases- 3.2%); mucinous carcinoma and infiltrating papillary carcinoma 1 (0.7%) case each.(Table 2) **Table 2:** Number of patients in differentmorphological categories

MORPHOLOGICAL CATEGORIES	NO OF CASES
INFILTRATING DUCTAL CARCINOMA(NOS)	52
MEDULLARY CARCINOMA	6
MUCINOUS CARCINOMA	1
INFILTRATING LOBULAR CARCINOMA	2
INFILTRATING PAPILLARY CARCINOMA	1

The most frequent tumor grade was grade I (49%) followed by grade III (40%) and grade II (11%) (Table 3). The result of the study showed 45.16% cases were ER+/PR+, 4.84% cases ER+/PR-, 6.45% ER-/PR+ and 43.55% cases were ER-/PR-(Table 4,5,6) . Expression of ER and PR found to be significantly associated with tumor grade (p=0.02).

Table 3: Frequency of tumor grade

Histological grade	No of cases	Percentage
Grade I	30	49%
Grade II	7	11%
Grade III	25	40%

Table 4: ER status in relation to histologicalgrade of tumor

Grade of tumor	No. of cases(%)	ER positive frequency(%)	ER negative frequency (%)
Ι	30	26	4
II	7	4	3
III	25	1	24

Table 5: PR status in relation to histological grade of tumor

Grade of tumor	No. of cases (%)	PR positive frequency (%)	PR negative frequency (%)
Ι	30	26	4
II	7	4	3
III	25	2	23

Table 6: ER/PR	status	in	relation	to	histological
grade of tumor					

Grade of Tumor	No. of cases (%)	ER/PR positive frequency (%)	ER/PR negative frequency (%)
Ι	30	26	0
II	7	2	2
III	25	0	24

DISCUSSION

Improved breast cancer treatment requires integration of clinical pathology and cancer boilogy which could affect patient outcome. ER, PR and her2/neu are well-established procedures in routine breast cancer management mainly as prognostic factors for adjuvant hormone therapy [8.9].

In our study, expression of ER, PR was found to be 48.39 %, 41.9 % respectively which correlate well with other studies ^[10,11]. Hormonal receptor status has shown that overall positivity rate for ER and PR was lower in India than that reported in Western literature. InEuropean and American population, 60–80 % patients were found with positive receptor expression ^[10]. This may be due

2017

to lower average age at diagnosis or racial difference.

The tumor grade I were more common in our study followed by grade III and II contrast to other studies ^[11]. This is a similar to some other studies where well-differentiated breast cancer is more common than the poorly differentiated cancer ^[10, 13]. In our study, ER and PR correlated well with grade I (p=0.001 and p=0.02 respectively). Tumor size is one of the important predictors of tumor behavior in breast cancer. Our results described the significant association of tumor size with an expression of ER and PR (p=0.02 & p=0.04) respectively. Our results confirmed that non-reactivity of hormonal receptors increases with increase in tumor size.

Infiltrating ductal carcinoma was the most common histological type similar to other studies followed by medullary carcinoma in our study where as in other studies Infiltrating lobular carcinoma being the second most common type ^[14,15]. Our study provides convincing evidence for a non-significant association between expression of ER, PR and lymph node metastasis. Similar results have been documented in other studies ^[16,17].

CONCLUSION

Immunohistochemical analysis of ER and PR receptors is widely available at a reasonable cost and is prognostic as well as somewhat predictive. This study confirms that receptor expression of ER and PR found to be significantly associated with tumor grade and tumor size. However, No association with node metastasisand ER, PR expressions was observed. Further functional analyzes of ER and PR receptors are needed to investigate the effects of compounds in inhibiting cancer in humans. These findings could have clinical importance in breast cancer treatment.

REFRENCES

1. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer. 2015 Mar 1;136(5):E359-86

- Sin ghai R, Patil VW, Patil AV. Status of HER-2/neu receptors and Ki-67 in breast cancer of Indian women. Int J App Basic Med Res 2011;1:15-9
- Roy I, Othieno E. Breast carcinoma in Uganda: Microscopic study and receptor profile of 45 cases. Arch Pathol Lab Med 2011;135:194-9
- 4. Sharif MA, Mamoon N, Mustaq S, Khadim MT. Morphological profile and association of HER-2/Neu with Prognostic markers in Breast carcinoma in Northern Pakistan. JCPSP 2009,19: 99-103.
- Allred DC, Harvey JM, Berardo M, Clark GM. Prognostic and predictive factors in breast cancer by immunohistochemical analysis. Mod Pathol 1998;11:155-68.
- Bardou JV, Arpino G, Elledge RM. Progesterone receptor status significantly improves outcome prediction over estrogen receptor status alone for adjuvant endocrine therapy in two large breast cancer databases. J ClinOncol 2003;21:1973–9.
- Umemura S, Kurosumi M, Moriya T et al. Immunohistochemicalevaluation for hormonereceptors in breast cancer: a pratically useful evaluation system and handling protocol. Breastcancer 2006;1: 232-235.
- Donegan WL. Tumor-related prognostic factors for breast cancer. Cancer J Clin 1997;47:28-51.
- Bast RC, Ravdin P, Hayes DF, Bates S, Fritsche H, Jessup JM. 2000 update of recommendations for use of tumor markers in breast and colorectal cancer: clinical practice guidelines of American Society of Clinical Oncology. J ClinOncol 2001;19:1865-78
- 10. Lakmini KB. Quick score of hormone receptor status of breast carcinoma:

JMSCR Vol||05||Issue||06||Page 22816-22820||June

Correlationwiththeotherclinicopathologicalprognosticparameters.Indian JPatholBacteriol2009;52:159-63.

- 11. Kaul R, Sharma J, Minhas SS, Mardi K. Hormone receptor status of breast cancer in the himalayan region of northern India. Indian J Surg 2011;73:9-12.
- Biesterfield S, Schroder W. Simultaneous histochemical and biochemical hormone receptor assessment in breast cancer provides complementary prognostic information. Aus Cancer Res 1979;7:4723-9.
- Suvarchala SB, Nageswararao R. Carcinoma breast-histopathological and hormone receptors correlation. J BiosciTechnol 2011;2:340-8.
- 14. Hall IJ, Moorman PG, Millikan RC, Newman B. Comparative analysis of breast cancer risk factors among African-Americal women and white women. Am J epidemol 2005;161:40-51.
- 15. Jatoi I, Anderson WF, Rao SR, Devesa SS. Breast cancer trends among black and white women in the United States. J clinOncol 2005;23:7836-41.
- 16. Ariga R, Zarif A, Korasick J, Reddy V, Siziopicou K, Gattuso P. Correlation of Her-2/neu gene amplification with other prognostic and predictive factors in female breast carcinoma. Breast J 2005;1:278-80.
- 17. Bozcuk H, Uslu G, Pestereli E, Samur M, Ozdogan M, Karaveli S. Predictor of distant metastasis at a presentation in breast cancer: a study also evaluating associations among common biological indicators. Breast Cancer Res Treat 2001;68:239-48.