2016

www.jmscr.igmpublication.org Impact Factor 5.244 Index Copernicus Value: 83.27 ISSN (e)-2347-176x ISSN (p) 2455-0450 crossref DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.18535/jmscr/v4i10.27



Journal Of Medical Science And Clinical Research An Official Publication Of IGM Publication

Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnancy and Its Outcome

Authors

Dr Sonal Sahni¹, Dr Kirti Patel², Dr Archana G Singh³

¹Assistant Prof, Dept of Obstetrics & Gynaecology NSCB Medical College Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh ²Senior Resident, Dept of Obsterics & Gynaecology NSCB Medical College Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh ³Associate Prof, NSCB Medical College Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh INDIA

Abstract

Background-*Urinary tract infections are disease processes with short and long term implications especially in pregnant females. Adequate understanding, routine screening and timely initiation of therapy may play a key role in reducing the disease burden of complicated UTF^s during pregnancy.*

Material and Methods-*This was a Prospective study, conducted on 401 pregnant females. The pregnant women were taught to collect the urine sample by aseptic technique which was then submitted to semi quantitative culture method. They were followed up till delivery to find impact of bacteriuria on outcome.*

Result -Significant bacteriuria was present in 10.22% cases. About 89.78% sample were found sterile. The most common etiological agents were, E.Coli followed by Klebsiella and Pseudomonas. Regarding maternal complications, out of 41 positive cases; 8 cases developed pre-eclampsia, 8 cases had premature rupture of membrane and 3 cases developed UTI.

Conclusion-*Asymptomatic bacteriuria is a common occurence which should be diagnosed and treated in early pregnancy to prevent its adverse effects on pregnancy.*

Key Words-Asymptomatic bacteriuria, UTI, Urine culture.

Introduction-

Urinary tract infections (UTI) are one of the commonest infection in antenatal women. Asymptomatic bacteriuria is one of the clinical manifestation of UTI. It is defined as persistent and actively multiplying bacteria in significant numbers [10⁵ CFU/ml] without any obvious symptoms, it is also known as Covert bacteriuria. The term asymptomatic bacteriuria of pregnancy refers to presence of positive urine culture [10⁵ CFU/ml] in an asymptomatic pregnant female. The pregnant females are two times more susceptible than non- pregnant females of same age group due to occurrence of following changes in pregnancy.

- Dilatation of renal calyces and ureters which leads urinary stasis [due to progesterone induced relaxation of muscular layer]
- 2. Relaxation of vesico-ureteric junction leads to reflux of urine from bladder to ureter and later upto renal pelvis.
- 3. Increased urinary contents of glucose and aminoacids which favours bacterial growth.
- 4. The immunosuppression of pregnancy (mucosal IL-6 levels and serum antibody response to E.coli antigens reported to be lower in pregnant women.)

2016

 Various studies, in India, prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was found between 5% to 12%, while studies from west it is between 2% to 7%.

Gold standard investigation for detection of bacteriuria is urine asymptomatic culture. Therefore urine culture at 1st antenatal visit irrespective of gestational age should be considered as a screening test of choice. Detection of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy is important as subsequently, it may lead to symptomatic infection during pregnancy in 25% women and various maternal complications like anemia, pre-eclamsia, pre-term labour, premature rupture of membrane, chronic kidney infection, LBW etc.

Material and Methods

The study was conducted from 1st June 2013 to 31st October 2014. 401 asymptomatic pregnant females, at their 1st visit antenatal visit in OPD, were briefed about the study. Consenting women were counselled regarding method of collection of clean catch mid stream urine sample. The samples were immediately transferred and processed within 2 hours of collection and were subjected to semi-quantitative culture method. Identification of isolates were done by gram staining, motility test, catalase test. coagulase test and routine biochemical test. The growth was interpreteted as sterile if no growth obtained, significant if growth obtained was confluent or the number of colonies correspond to 10^5 , colony forming units [CFU] per ml. If colony count less than 10^5 CFU per ml reported as insignificant.

Follow up of the patients with significant urine culture was done two weeks after completion of treatment, then 12 weekly up to delivery to find impact of asymptomatic bacteriuria on maternal and perinatal outcome. Follow up of patients with sterile urine culture was done 12 weekly up to delivery.

Results

Out of 401 asymptomatic pregnant women screened, significant bacteriuria was found in 41 [10.22%] cases (Table no 1). As per sociodemographic profile (Table no 2) 11.5% reported in age group between 21-25 years. 61% of total positive subjects were booked and belonged to urban background. With respect to socioeconomic status 48.8%, 46.3% and 4.9% positive cases were belongs to low, middle and upper class respectively. According to parity (table no 3) out of 41 positive cases, 19[46.3%] were nullipara and 13[31.7%] primipara, 7[17.1%] was second para and only 2[4.9%] were multipara. As per trimester of pregnancy incidence was 29.3% in 1st trimester, 19.5% in 2nd trimester and 21(51.2%) found positive in 3rd trimester (Table no 3) of pregnancy.

The commonest isolate detected in urine culture(table no 4) was E.coli (75.61%) cases followed by Klebsiella (14.63%) and Pseudomonas (9.76%) cases.

Among all isolates highest sensitivity was found for Amikacin 58.1% Ecoli, 66.7% Klebsiella and 50% Pseudomonas was found sensitive to Amikacin. 26 women delivered vaginally, 8 cases delivered by caesarean section and 7 cases lost to follow up (Table no 5). Regarding maternal complications, patients pre-8 developed eclampsia, one case of anemia and pre-eclampsia both, 8 cases had premature rupture of membrane and 3 cases developed UTI (Table no.6. Premature birth(6 cases), low birth weight(2 cases), intrauterine growth restriction and intra-uterine death (1 each) and early onset septicemia(2), fetal complications (Table were no 7).

Table no 1 - Prevalence of Asymptomatic Bacteriur
--

Culture	Cases	Percentage	95%CI
SterilE	360	89.78%	86.39-92.56%
Positive	41	10.22%	7.44%-13.61%
Total	401	100%	

Dr Sonal Sahni et al JMSCR Volume 04 Issue 10 October

Table no 2 Sociodemographic profile

Age	Culture					
		Sterile	Positive			
	Cases	Percentage	Cases	Percentage %		
<=20 years	57	91.9%	5	8.1%		
21-25 years	192	88.5%	25	11.5%		
26-30 years	93	90.3%	10	9.7%		
31-40 years	18	94.7%	1	5.3%		
Booking status						
Booked	214	59.4%	25	61.%		
Un Booked	146	40.6%	16	39.0%		
Locality						
Rural	178	49.4%	16	39%		
Urban	182	50.6%	25	61.0%		
Socioeconomic status						
Low	236	65.6%	20	48.8%		
Middle	120	33.3%	19	46.3%		
Upper	4	1.2%	2	4.9%		

Table 3 Prevalence Rate With Respect To Parity And Trimester

Parity	Culture				Total	
	Sterile Positive					
	Cases	percentage %	Cases	percentage %	Cases	percentage %
Nulli Para	180	50.0%	19	46.3%	199	49.6%
Primi Para	127	35.3%	13	31.7%	140	34.9%
Second Para	47	13.1%	7	17.1%	54	13.5%
Multi Para	6	1.7%	2	4.9%	8	2.0%
Total	360	100%	41	100%	401	100%
Trimester						
1 st Trimester	93	25.8%	12	29.3%	105	26.2%
2 nd Trimester	147	40.8%	8	19.5%	155	38.7%
3 rd Trimester	120	33.3%	21	51.2%	141	35.2%
Total	360	100.0%	41	100%	401	100%

Table no. 4 Distribution of Bacterial Isolates

ISOLATE	CASES	PERCENTAGE	95%CI
E.COLI	31	75.61%	59.70-87.64
KLEBSIELLA	6	14.63%	5.56-29.17
PSEUDOMONAS	4	9.76%	2.72-23.13
TOTAL	41	100%	

Table no 5. Maternal Outcome- According to Mode of Delivery

MATERNAL OUTCOME		Culture				
		Sterile		Positive		
		Cases	Percentage %	Cases	Percentage %	
DELIVERED	VAGINAL Delivery	303	84.2%	26	63.4%	
	Caesarean section	24	6,7%	8	19.5%	
ANC		8	2.2%	0	0.0%	
LOST		25	6.9%	7	17.1%	

Table no 6 Maternal Complications

Maternal complications	Culture				
		Sterile		ositive	
	CASES	PERCENTAGE %	CASES	%	
Normal/no complication	345	95.8%	24	58.5%	
MODERATE ANAEMIA	4	1.1%	1	2.4%	
SEVERE ANAEMIA	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	
PRE-ECLAMPSIA	5	1.4%	8	19.5%	
ANAEMIA,PRE-ECLAMPSIA	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	
PROM	2	0.6%	8	19.5%	
UTI	0	0.0%	3	7.3%	
Jaundice	2	0.6%	0	0.0%	
Abruptio-placentae	0	0.0%	1	2.4%	

Table no 7 Fetal Outcome

OUTCOME	Culture					
	Sterile		Po	ositive		
	CASES	%	CASES	%		
Normal/Healthy term baby	329	98.2%	18	52.9%		
Abortion	0	0.0%	2	5.9%		
Preterm	2	0.6%	6	17.6%		
LBW	2	0.6%	2	5.9%		
IUGR	0	0.0%	2	5.9%		
IUD	0	0.0%	2	5.9%		
EOS	2	0.6%	2	5.9%		

Discussion

The urinary tract- so called "the problem tract" is second to respiratory tract in acquiring microbial infections especially in females and that so in pregnancy. Asymptomatic bacteriuria of pregnancy needs special considerations because of lack of symptoms and harmful consequences in pregnancy. All the pregnant women should be recommended to get screened for asymptomatic bacteriuria in their early pregnancy. The urine culture should be method of choice for screening and subsequently asymptomatic bacteriuria should be treated as per antimicrobial sensitivity pattern of the isolate to prevent maternal and perinatal morbidity. The pioneer in reporting incidence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was Kass¹, overall the incidence in various Indian studies was found between 5% to 12% and in western studies the incidence ranges from 2 to 7%². Sujata et al, 2013-2014³ found prevalence of asymptomatic

Dr Sonal Sahni et al JMSCR Volume 04 Issue 10 October

bacteriuria 7.3%. In present study the percentage of positive cases in age group <20,21-25,26-30,31-40 years was 8.1%,11.5%,9.7% and 5.3% respectively, However this difference was not found statistically significant .Fatima et al,2001-2002 reported that asymptomatic bacteriuria have no association with age of the patients. In our study there was no significant difference in incidence of bacteriuria between primigravida and multigravida. Lavnya ⁴et al, found higher incidence in primigravida while study by Roy⁵ et al, depicted higher incidence in multigravida. In present study higher incidence of bacteriuria was found in 3rd trimester. R.J.G irishhbabu, R Shrikrishnan, and ST .Ramesh [2011], also observed that most cases of asymptomatic bacteriuria were found during 3rd trimester of $pregnancy^6$. The bacteria responsible for asymptomatic bacteriuria are of fecal origin which colonize the peri-urethral area. Gram negative

bacteria are main causative agent. In different studies Lavnya et al⁴, Shamweel Ahmad et al, E.coli was found the commonest isolate, as was found in our study. Further follow up study was carried out for all patients included in study till delivery, out of 41 positive cases 2 cases were aborted spontaneously before 20 weeks of gestation. Caesarean section rate may increase in cases of asymptomatic bacteriuria, due to increase in rate of premature rupture of membranes subsequently drainage of liquor which lead to fetal distress, non progression of labour. In our study caesarean section rates were higher in positive cases (8/41), in comparision to sterile cases (24/360). Johnson and Kim et al¹¹, reported that urinary tract infections, which occur during pregnancy increase caesarean section rate. In present study out of 360 sterile cases only 2 cases went in preterm labour while out of 41 positive cases 6 patients went in preterm labour. Schieve and collegues⁷, Nath et al,⁸ and Cochrane systemic review 2011 reported that asymptomatic bacteriuria increase the risk of pre- term delivery. In present study out of 360 sterile cases 5 cases had pre-eclampsia while out of 41 positive cases 8 cases had pre-eclampsia similarly in study by S.K.Roy et al, pre-eclamptic toxemia seen in 9.1% of bacteriuric group. In present study out of 41 positive cases 3(7.3%) cases developed UTI. Nicolle et al⁹, have reported 30%-40% of pregnant women with asymptomatic bacteriuria may develop symptomatic urinary tract infection. Out of 41 positive cases 8 [19.5%] developed PROM.

Fetal complications- In present study 6 cases had preterm delivery out of 41 cases, 2 babies born with LBW and 2babies born with intra uterine growth restriction and 2 cases developed early onset septicemia. Significantly higher rate of LBW babies was observed in cases withUTI [22.4%], in comparison to those without UTI [7.7%], in study conducted by G.Nath et al¹⁰. A study conducted in 2009 in the in the Soroka University Medical centre, Beer- Sheva,Israel reported that asymptomatic bacteriuria independently associated with prematurity,low birth weight and intrauterine growth restriction¹². Therefore it is better to screen and treat the pregnant females with asymptomatic bacteriuria to avoid complications linked to it as it is rightly said that," prevention is better than cure".

Conclusion

Pregnancy associated bacteriuria is a common entity. The common pathogens involved are of fecal origin. Due to complications associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy, it should be made mandatory to screen every antenatal woman in early pregnancy for it. Urine culture is the ideal method for diagnosis .treatment with appropriate antibiotic therapy should be done in every positive case.

References

- 1. Kass EH.Bacteriuria in pregnancy and pyelonephritis of pregnany Arch Intern Med. 1960. 105:194-8.
- Yashodhana P.Mthur R. Urinary tract infection in pregnancy. Indian J.med Res 1987:86.
- 3. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria and its antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic at Kanpur,India J.Clen. Dergn Res 2014 Apr 8.
- 4. Lavanya SV,Jogalakshmi D. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in antenatal women . Ind.J. med .microbial 2002.
- 5. Roy SK Sinha GR Gudros M.A. a study of bateriuria in pregnancy. J.obslet Gynaecol India 1974.
- 6. RJ Girishbhu, R Shrikrishna, S.T. Ramesh, Internation journal of biological and medical research original article of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy. 2011
- Williams obstetrics 23rd edition page 1035, Renal and urinary tract infections.
- Nath G,Chaudhary M,Prakash J,et al. Urinary tract infection during pregnancy and fetal outcome. Indian J Med Microbial.1996;14;158-60.

- Nicolle LE,Bradley S,Colgan R,Rice JC,Schaeffer A,Hooton TM.Infectious Diseases Society of America guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of aymptomatic bacteriuria in adults.Clin Inf Dis 2005;40;643-54.http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1086/427507.
- 10. Nath G Chaudhary et al Urinary tract infection during pregnancy and fetal outcome. Indian J med
- 11. Johnson EK,Kim ED. Urinary tract infections in pregnancy. Medscape; 2012.URL:overview (accessed 11april 2012).
- 12. Asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy, Faculty of health science, Dept of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Soroka University medical centre Beer-sheva Israel,J matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2009 may 22.